Environmental Product Declaration

Clarus | go! Mobile



clarus

Declaration Owner Clarus 7537 Jack Newell Blvd N Fort Worth, TX 76118 469.400.7472 | www.clarus.com

Product Go! Mobile glassboards

Functional Unit 1 unit of glassboard, used and maintained for 10 years

EPD Number and Period of Validity

SCS-EPD-10121 EPD Valid May 2, 2024 through May 1, 2029

Product Category Rule

ISO 21930:2017 - Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works — Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services

Program Operator

SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 +1.510.452.8000 | www.SCSglobalServices.com



Clarus | go! Mobile

Declaration Owner:	Clarus				
Address:	7537 Jack Newell Blvd N, Fort Worth, TX 76118				
Declaration Number:	SCS-EPD-10121				
Declaration Validity Period:	EPD Valid May 2, 2024 through May 1, 2029				
Program Operator:	SCS Global Services				
Declaration URL Link:	https://www.scsglobalservices.com/certified-green-products-guide				
LCA Practitioner:	Urvi Talaty, SCS Global Services				
LCA Software and LCI database:	OpenLCA v1.11 software and the Ecoinvent v3.9.1 database				
Product RSL:	10 years				
Markets of Applicability:	North America				
EPD Type:	Product-Specific				
EPD Scope:	Cradle-to-Grave				
LCIA Method and Version:	TRACI 2.1; CML-IA				
Independent critical review of the LCA and data, according to ISO 14044 and ISO 14071	□ internal 🛛 external				
LCA Reviewer:	Thomas Gloria, Ph.D., Industrial Ecology Consultants				
Product Category Rule:	ISO 21930:2017. Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works — Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.				
PCR Review conducted by:	ISO Technical Committee				
Independent verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025 and the PCR	□ internal 🛛 external				
EPD Verifier:	Thomas Gloria, Ph.D., Industrial Ecology Consultants				
Declaration Contents:	1. Clarus22. Product23. LCA: Calculation Rules64. LCA: Scenarios and Additional Technical Information115. LCA: Results136. LCA: Interpretation207. References21				

Disclaimers: This EPD conforms to ISO 14025, 14040, 14044, and ISO 21930.

Scope of Results Reported: The PCR requirements limit the scope of the LCA metrics such that the results exclude environmental and social performance benchmarks and thresholds, and exclude impacts from the depletion of natural resources, land use ecological impacts, ocean impacts related to greenhouse gas emissions, risks from hazardous wastes and impacts linked to hazardous chemical emissions.

Accuracy of Results: Due to PCR constraints, this EPD provides estimations of potential impacts that are inherently limited in terms of accuracy.

Comparability: The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

In accordance with ISO 21930:2017, EPDs are comparable only if they comply with the core PCR, use the same sub-category PCR where applicable, include all relevant information modules and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works.

1. Clarus

Clarus is the pioneer and innovator of the glassboard. As the world's largest glassboard manufacturer, Clarus' modern and minimalist dry-erase solutions have literally and visually transformed strategic, interpersonal communication. Established in 2009, Clarus has experienced explosive growth, requiring the company to relocate to larger facilities 5 times in 9 years. The Clarus design team invents new ways to use the most basic form of written communication in the most modern ways. Clarus leads the Architecture and Design industry by working with the most prestigious brands across the globe and inspiring collaboration in corporate, government, healthcare, and educational settings.

2. Product

2.1 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

go! Mobile is a magnetic, back-painted glassboard on wheels. With a double-sided glassboard that can move with you from room to room, go! Mobile offers its user the ultimate collaboration tool and the ability to bring it with them wherever needed. In the modern office, mobile collaboration tolls are essential. go! Mobile is both elegant and supremely customizable, making it a favorite of Fortune 500 companies and most major universities.



2.2 PRODUCT FLOW DIAGRAM

The diagram below is a representation of the most significant contributions to the life cycle of Clarus go! Mobile glassboards.



Figure 1. Flow diagram representing the major unit operations in the life cycle of Clarus go! Mogile Glassboard product system.

```
© 2024 SCSglobalServices.com
```

2.3 APPLICATION

The Clarus products serve the function of a typical office writing board. The products are used in a variety of office settings.

2.4 DECLARATION OF METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The scope of the EPD is cradle-to-grave, including raw material extraction and processing, transportation, product manufacture, product delivery, installation and use, and product disposal. The life cycle phases included in the product system boundary are shown below.

Cut-off and allocation procedures are described below and conform to the PCR and ISO standards.

Pr	oduct		Const Pro	ruction	Use				Use En		End-o	f-life			
A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	С3	C
Raw material extraction and processing	Transport to manufacturer	Manufacturing	Transport	Construction - installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstruction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Dienocal
x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	>

Table 1. Life cycle phases included in the product system boundary.X = included

2.5 TECHNICAL DATA

Specifications for the Clarus products are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Product and packaging weights for the Clarus products

Product name	Reference Flow - Product (kg)	Reference Flow - Packaging (kg)
go! Mobile - Wood, Magnetic	61.2	33.8
go! Mobile - Wood, Non-magnetic	57.1	33.8
go! Mobile - Steel frame, Magnetic	62.1	33.8
go! Mobile - Steel Frame, Non-magnetic	58	33.8

2.6 MARKET PLACEMENT/APPLICATION RULES

Distribution of the products to consumer markets is included in the model, based on data from the manufacturer.

2.7 PROPERTIES OF DECLARED PRODUCT AS DELIVERED

The products are delivered assembled and wrapped in plastic foam pads, and wooden crates.

2.8 MATERIAL COMPOSITION

Clarus Glassboard products are constructed from a variety of materials including glass, fabricated steel parts, wood and various coatings, plastics, and adhesives. Table 3 summarizes the components by mass for each product model, and the values for packaging are shown in Table 4. The product component materials as a percentage of the total mass are also presented.

Table 3. Component material summary for the Clarus go! Mobile glassboard products by mass (kg per unit) and as a percentage of total mass. (Models: go! Mobile-Wood, Magnetic; go! Mobile-Wood, Non-magnetic; go! Mobile-Steel frame, Magnetic; go! Mobile-Steel Frame, Non-magnetic)

Component/Material	go! Mobile-Wood, Magnetic	go! Mobile-Wood, Non-magnetic	go! Mobile-Steel, Magnetic	go! Mobile-Steel, Non-magnetic
	35.9	35.9	35.9	35.9
Glass	59%	63%	58%	62%
Tana	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Tape	0.25%	0.26%	0.24%	0.26%
Stool	21.3	17.2	25.9	21.8
SLEEL	35%	30%	42%	38%
Wood	3.63	3.63	0	0
WOOU	6%	6%	-	-
Paint	0.204	0.195	0.15	0.15
T all IC	0.34%	0.34%	0.24%	0.26%
Droduct Total	61.2	57.1	62.1	58
	100%	100%	100%	100%

In conformance with the PCR, product materials were reviewed for the presence of any toxic or hazardous chemicals. Based on a review of the product components provided by the manufacturer, no regulated chemicals were identified in the product or product components.

2.9 MANUFACTURING

The products are manufactured at Clarus's manufacturing facility in Texas. The manufacturer provided primary data for their annual production, resource use and electricity consumption and waste generation at the facility. The electricity supply mix for the facility is modeled as the ERCT eGRID subregional power grid.

2.10 PACKAGING

The products are packaged for shipment using wooden crates, foam pads, and plastic wrap.

Models: go! Mobile-Wood, Magnetic; go! Mobile-Wood, Non-magnetic, go! Mobile-steel, Magnetic; go! Mobile-steel, Non-magnetic)						
Component/Material	go! Mobile-Wood, Magnetic	go! Mobile-Wood, Non-magnetic	go! Mobile-Steel, Magnetic	go! Mobile-Steel, Non-magnetic		
Lumbor	33.6	33.6	33.6	33.6		
Lumber	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%		
Stratch Wrap	0.181	0.181	0.181	0.181		
Stretch wrap	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%		
Foam Pads	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036		
	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%		
Dackaging Total	33.8	33.8	33.8	33.8		
Packaging rolal	100%	100%	100%	100%		

Table 4. Packaging summary for the go! Mobile Clarus glassboard products by mass (kg per unit) and as a percentage of total mass.

 (Models: go! Mobile-Wood, Magnetic; go! Mobile-Wood, Non-magnetic, go! Mobile-Steel, Magnetic; go! Mobile-Steel, Non-magnetic)

2.11 PRODUCT INSTALLATION

The products are installed using hand tools with no associated emissions or impacts. Impacts associated with the disposal of packaging materials are included in the installation life cycle phase (A5).

2.12 USE CONDITIONS

No special conditions of use are noted.

2.13 REFERENCE SERVICE LIFE

The Reference Service Life (RSL) of the products is 10 years based on the warranty period provided by the manufacturer.

2.14 RE-USE PHASE

There are no components of the product which can be reused at the end of the reference service lifetime and this parameter is reported as zero.

2.15 DISPOSAL

No specific data were available to estimate the final disposition of the product and packaging at end-of-life. Disposal statistics, including recycling rates for durable goods and packaging were used as a proxy, taken from the Ecoinvent LCI databases and regional disposal statistics data for the US.

2.16 FURTHER INFORMATION

Further information on the product can be found on the manufacturer's website https://www.clarus.com/green/

3. LCA: Calculation Rules

3.1 FUNCTIONAL UNIT

The Clarus glassboard products are a general purpose office writing surface of varying sizes and configurations. The glassboards provide the primary function of a writing surface and are constructed of glass, extruded steel and aluminum and miscellaneous plastics, wood, adhesives and steel hardware. According to ISO 14044, the functional unit is "the quantified performance of a product system, for use as a reference unit." The functional unit used in the study, consistent with the PCR, is one unit of glassboard, serving the function of a typical office writing surface and used and maintained for 10 years. The reference unit used in the study is one complete glassboard product, including packaging. The reference flow and product mass for each model assessed are summarized below.

Product	Reference flow (kg)	Reference Flow - Packaging (kg)	Reference Service Life – RSL (years)
go! Mobile - Wood, Magnetic	61.2	33.8	
go! Mobile - Wood, Non-magnetic	57.1	33.8	10
go! Mobile - Steel frame, Magnetic	62.1	33.8	10
go! Mobile - Steel Frame, Non-magnetic	58	33.8	

Table 5. Reference flow and RSL for the table products.

3.2 SYSTEM BOUNDARY

The scope of the EPD is cradle-to-grave, including raw material extraction and processing, transportation, product manufacture, product delivery, installation and use, and product disposal. The life cycle phases included in the EPD scope are described in Table 6.

Table 6. The modules and unit processes included in the scope for the Clarus products.

Module	Module Description	Unit Processes Included in Scope
A1	Extraction and processing of raw materials; any reuse of products or materials from previous product systems; processing of secondary materials; generation of electricity from primary energy resources; energy, or other, recovery processes from secondary fuels	Extraction and processing of raw materials for the product and packaging components.
A2	Transport (to the manufacturer)	Transport of component materials to the manufacturing facility
A3	Manufacturing, including ancillary material production	Manufacturing of the products and packaging (including upstream unit processes*)
A4	Transport (to the building site)	Transport of product (including packaging) to the building site
A5	Construction-installation process	The products are installed using hand tools with no associated emissions or impacts. No specific maintenance of the product is identified by the manufacturer. Only impacts from packaging disposal are included in this phase.
B1	Product use	Use of the product in a commercial building setting. There are no associated emissions or impacts from the use of the product
B2	Product maintenance	Maintenance of products over the 10 year ESL, including periodic cleaning.
B3	Product repair	The product is not expected to require repair over its lifetime
B4	Product replacement	The product is not expected to require replacement over its lifetime
B5	Product refurbishment	The product is not expected to require refurbishment over its lifetime
B6	Operational energy use by technical building systems	There is no operational energy use associated with the use of the product
B7	Operational water uses by technical building systems	There is no operational water use associated with the use of the product
C1	Deconstruction, demolition	Removal of the product is accomplished using hand tools with no associated emissions and negligible impacts
C2	Transport (to waste processing)	Transport of the product to waste treatment at end-of-life
C3	Waste processing for reuse, recovery and/or recycling	The products are disposed of via landfilling or incineration which requires no waste processing
C4	Disposal	Disposal of the product

*This includes unit processes involved in the generation of electricity, and production of material input (e.g., adhesives and pigments).

3.3 PRODUCT SPECIFIC CALCULATION FOR USE PHASE

There are no impacts associated with use of the product and the results for this phase are reported as zero. Impacts related to indoor air quality during the product use phase are also negligible.

3.4 UNITS

All data and results are presented using SI units.

3.5 ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS

The assessment relied on a number of assumptions related to material composition, processing, and use and maintenance. The major assumptions used in the assessment are described below.

- Electricity and resource use (natural gas, fuel oil) at the Clarus facility were allocated to the product based on the product mass as a fraction of the total facility production volume.
- The Clarus Ft. Worth, Texas facility is located in the ERCT eGRID subregion. An Ecoinvent v3.9.1.1 inventory dataset was modified to reflect the eGRID energy mix for the ERCT region to estimate resource use and emissions from electricity use at the Clarus manufacturing facility.
- Data for the manufacturing processes to produce many of the steel and plastic components of the products were not specifically known. Therefore, average metal working and plastic injection molding datasets for steel, aluminum and plastic component manufacturing are used.
- Modeling of recycled material follows the recycled content method (also known as 100-0 method or cut-off method) whereby only the burdens of reprocessing the waste material are allocated to the system from the use of the recycled material.
- The glassboard products include powder-coated aluminum and steel which were modeled using Ecoinvent LCI datasets based on the coated surface area. Lacking specific data for the coated surface area, a conservative estimate was used based on the general dimensions of the frame and other coated components.
- For the product end-of-life, recycling rates are assumed based on the 2018 US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) reports. Materials not recycled are assumed to go to a municipal landfill (80%) and incineration (20%) based on information from the MSW reports. These data supply recycling rates for durable goods, as well as for packaging and containers.
- For final disposal of the packaging material and product at end-of-life, all materials are assumed to be transported 32 km by diesel truck to either a landfill, incineration facility, or material reclamation facility (for recycling). Datasets representing disposal in a landfill and waste incineration are from Ecoinvent.
- The use phase of the product life cycle was modeled based on information provided by the manufacturer including recommended installation and cleaning methods. A monthly cleaning frequency was assumed for the assessment.
- An analysis of impacts to indoor air quality during use of the product was considered outside the scope and was not included.

3.6 CUT-OFF RULES

According to the PCR, processes contributing greater than 1% of the total environmental impact indicator for each impact must be included in the inventory. In the present study, except as noted, all known materials and processes were included in the life cycle inventory.

3.7 DATA SOURCES

Primary data were provided for the manufacturing facility. The sources of secondary LCI data are the Ecoinvent database.

 Table 7. LCI datasets and associated databases used to model material production and processing for the Clarus products.

Component	Dataset	Data Source	Publication Date
PRODUCT			
Glass			
Glass	market for flat glass, uncoated flat glass, uncoated Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
Charl	N/A	Supplier EPD	2023
Steel	market for steel low-alloved L steel low-alloved L Cutoff LL	ELV3.9.1	2022
	market for metal working, average for steel product manufacturing metal	LI V3.9.1	2022
Steel fabrication	working, average for steel product manufacturing Cutoff, U	El v3.9.1	2022
Powder coat	market for powder coat, steel powder coat, steel Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
Plastics			
	market for polyethylene, high density, granulate polyethylene, high density, granulate Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
	market for nylon 6 nylon 6 Cutoff, U - RoW	El v3.9.1	2022
Polyethylene, nylon, polyurethane, acrylic	market for polyurethane, flexible foam polyurethane, flexible foam Cutoff, U - RoW	El v3.9.1	2022
	market for acrylic binder, with water, in 54% solution state acrylic binder, with water, in 54% solution state Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
	market for injection moulding injection moulding Cutoff, U - GLO	EI v3.9.1	2022
Aluminum			
Recycled Aluminum	market for aluminium, primary, ingot aluminium, primary, ingot Cutoff, U - IAI Area, North America	El v3.9.1	2022
Aluminum fabrication	market for metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing metal working, average for aluminium product manufacturing Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
Powder coat	market for powder coat, aluminium sheet powder coat, aluminium sheet Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
Wood			
Wood	market for sawnwood, board, hardwood, dried (u=10%), planed sawnwood, board, hardwood, dried (u=10%), planed Cutoff, U - RoW	El v3.9.1	2022
PACKAGING			
Stretch wrap	market for packaging film, low density polyethylene packaging film, low density polyethylene Cutoff, U - GLO	EI v3.9.1	2022
Pallet	market for EUR-flat pallet EUR-flat pallet Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
Foam pads	market for polyurethane, flexible foam polyurethane, flexible foam Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
TRANSPORT			
Road transport	market for transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4 transport, freight, lorry 16-32 metric ton, EURO4 Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
Ship transport	market for transport, freight, sea, container ship transport, freight, sea, container ship Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
RESOURCES			
Grid electricity	market for electricity, medium voltage electricity, medium voltage Cutoff, U -Custom ERCT 2021	EI v3.9.1	2022
Heat – natural gas	market for heat, district or industrial, natural gas heat, district or industrial, natural gas Cutoff, U - RoW	EI v3.9.1	2022
Fuel - Propane	market for propane propane Cutoff, U - GLO	El v3.9.1	2022
Water	market for tap water tap water Cutoff, U - RoW	El v3.9.1	2022

3.8 DATA QUALITY

The data quality assessment addresses the following parameters: time-related coverage, geographical coverage, technological coverage, precision, completeness, representativeness, consistency, reproducibility, sources of data, and uncertainty.

 Table 8. Data quality assessment for the product system.

Data Quality Parameter	Data Quality Discussion
<i>Time-Related Coverage:</i> Age of data and the minimum length of time over which data is collected	The most recent available data are used, based on other considerations such as data quality and similarity to the actual operations. Typically, these data are less than 10 years old. All of the secondary data used represented an average of at least one year's worth of data collection, and up to three years in some cases. Manufacturer-supplied data (primary data) are based on annual production for 2022.
Geographical Coverage: Geographical area from which data for unit processes is collected to satisfy the goal of the study	The data used in the analysis provide the best possible representation available with current data. Electricity use for product manufacture is modeled using representative data for TRE subregion in the US. Surrogate data used in the assessment are representative of European or global operations. Data representative of global operations are US statistics.
<i>Technology Coverage:</i> Specific technology or technology mix	For the most part, data are representative of the actual technologies used for processing, transportation, and manufacturing operations. Representative datasets are used to represent the actual processes, as appropriate.
Precision: Measure of the variability of the data values for each data expressed	Precision of results are not quantified due to a lack of data. Secondary data for operations are typically averaged for one or more years and over multiple operations, which is expected to reduce the variability of results.
Completeness: Percentage of flow that is measured or estimated	The LCA model included all known mass and energy flows for production of the products. In some instances, surrogate data used to represent upstream and downstream operations may be missing some data which is propagated in the model. No known processes or activities contributing to more than 1% of the total environmental impact for each indicator are excluded. In total, these missing data represent less than 5% of the mass or energy flows.
Representativeness: Qualitative assessment of the degree to which the data set reflects the true population of interest	Data used in the assessment represent typical or average processes as currently reported from multiple data sources and are therefore generally representative of the range of actual processes and technologies for production of these materials. Considerable deviation may exist among actual processes on a site-specific basis; however, such a determination would require detailed data collection throughout the supply chain back to resource extraction.
Consistency: Qualitative assessment of whether the study methodology is applied uniformly to the various components of the analysis	The consistency of the assessment is considered to be high. Data sources of similar quality and age are used; with a bias towards Ecoinvent v3.9.1 data where available. Different portions of the product life cycle are equally considered; however, it must be noted that final disposition of the product is based on waste disposal statistics for the US.
Reproducibility:	
Qualitative assessment of the extent to which information about the methodology and data values would allow an independent practitioner to reproduce the results reported in the study	Based on the description of data and assumptions used, this assessment would be reproducible by other practitioners. All assumptions, models, and data sources are documented.
<i>Sources of the Data:</i> Description of all primary and secondary data sources	Data representing energy use at Clarus' Texas facility represent an annual average and are considered of medium to high quality due to the length of time over which these data are collected for the existing production processes. For secondary LCI datasets, Ecoinvent v3.9.1.1 LCI data are used. For flat glass, LCI and LCI results from the supplier are used.
<i>Uncertainty of the Information:</i> Uncertainty related to data, models, and assumptions	Uncertainty related to materials in the products and packaging is low. Actual supplier data for upstream operations was not available and the study relied upon the use of existing representative datasets. These datasets contained relatively recent data (<10 years) but lacked geographical representativeness. Uncertainty related to the impact assessment methods used in the study are high. The impact assessment method required by the PCR includes impact potentials, which lack characterization of providing and receiving environments or tipping points.

3.9 PERIOD UNDER REVIEW

The period of review is calendar year 2022.

3.10 ALLOCATION

The study followed the allocation guidelines of ISO 14044 and sought to minimize the use of allocation where possible. The PCR establishes a preference for allocation following mass or other biophysical relationships. Resource use (e.g., water and energy), emissions and waste at the manufacturing facility are allocated based on the mass of the product as a fraction of the total facility production volume. An alternative economic-based allocation approach is investigated through a sensitivity analysis. Impacts from transportation were allocated based on the mass of material and distance transported.

3.11 COMPARABILITY

The PCR this EPD was based on was not written to support comparative assertions. EPDs based on different PCRs, or different calculation models, may not be comparable. When attempting to compare EPDs or life cycle impacts of products from different companies, the user should be aware of the uncertainty in the final results, due to and not limited to, the practitioner's assumptions, the source of the data used in the study, and the specifics of the product modeled.

4. LCA: Scenarios and Additional Technical Information

Transportation and Distribution

Transportation for the LCA model is based on data from the component manufacturer (1st tier supplier) to the Ft. Worth, TX facility for fabrication and assembly. Transportation data for 2nd tier suppliers (material supplier to component manufacturer) are based on data embedded in the representative LCI datasets.

Distribution of the products to point of sale is included in the model, based on data from the manufacturer. According to the manufacturer, the average transportation distance to point of sale is approximately 1,085 miles via diesel truck.

Transportation for end-of-life scenarios was modeled using the EPA WARM model assumption of 20 miles, from the point of product use to a landfill, material recovery center, or waste incinerator.

Installation of the product is accomplished using hand tools with no associated emissions and negligible impacts. The impacts associated with packaging disposal are also included with the installation phase (A5) as per PCR requirements.

Parameter	Value for go!Mobile
Ancillary materials	-
Net freshwater consumption (m ³)	-
Electricity consumption (kWh)	-
Product loss per functional unit (kg)	negligible
Waste materials generated by product installation (kg)	negligible
Output materials resulting from on-site waste processing (kg)	n/a
Direct emissions (kg)	-
Mass of packaging waste (kg)	
Plastic	0.218
Wood	33.6
Biogenic carbon in packaging (kg C)	16.8
VOC emissions	negligible

Use stage (B1)

There are no impacts associated with use of the product and the results for this phase are reported as zero.

Maintenance stage (B2)

Maintenance of the glassboards (B2) was limited to monthly cleaning, over the assumed 10-year lifetime. A 1-liter dilution of mild detergent (1 part detergent to 30 parts water) was assumed to be applied monthly to the glassboard.

Repair/Refurbishment stage (B3; B5)

Product repair and refurbishment are not relevant during the lifetime of the product and the results for this phase are reported as zero.

Replacement stage (B4)

Replacement of the product is not relevant during the 10-year ESL of the assessment; results for this stage are reported as zero.

Building operation stage (B6 – B7)

There is no operational energy or water use associated with the use of the product and the results for these stages are zero.

Disposal stage (C1 - C4)

No specific data are available regarding the recycling rate of materials of the Clarus products at end-of-life. Assumptions for end-of-life are based on 2018 statistics regarding municipal solid waste generation and disposal in the United States, from the US Environmental Protection Agency. This data supplies waste management rates for durable goods, including appliances, furniture, furnishings, electronic equipment, business equipment, and other products. Data is also available for recycling rates of containers and packaging. The relevant recycling, incineration and landfill rates applied to the assessment are described in Table 10.

Table 10.	Recycling rates for	durable goods (product)	and packaging materials	s at end-of-life.
	Parameter	Recycling (%)	Combustion (%)	Landfill

Parameter	Recycling (%)	Combustion (%)	Landfill (%)
DURABLE GOODS			
Steel	27.8%	13%	59.2%
Glass	-	13.4%	86.6%
Wood	-	18.1%	81.9%
Aluminum	-	15.4%	84.6%
Plastic	6.8%	12.7%	80.5%
CONTAINERS AND PACKAGING			
Wood	26.9%	14.3%	58.8%
Plastic	13.6%	16.9%	69.5%
Other	-	20%	80%

Transportation for end-of-life scenarios was modeled using the EPA WARM model assumption of 20 miles, from the point of product use to a landfill, material recovery center, or waste incinerator.

5. LCA: Results

Results of the Life Cycle Assessment are presented below. It is noted that LCA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks. All LCA results are stated to three significant figures in agreement with the PCR for this product and therefore the sum of the total values may not exactly equal 100%.

Table 11 summarizes the nomenclature and reporting units for the additional inventory parameters (energy and waste flows), as specified in the PCR, while Tables 12-15 present these results according to the life cycle module definitions for the five Clarus products. Category indicator results for the product are summarized by life cycle phase in Tables 16-19.

Modules B1, B3, B4, B5, B6, and B7 are not associated with any impact and are therefore declared as zero. In addition, module C1 and C3 are likewise not associated with any impact as the products are expected to be manually deconstructed. In the interest of space and table readability, these modules are not included in the results presented below.

Table 11. Nomenclature and reporting units for resource use and waste flows.

Parameter	Units
RESOURCES	
Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (RPR _E)	MJ, LHV
Renewable primary resources used as material (RPR _M)	MJ, LHV
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier ($NRPR_E$)	MJ, LHV
Non-renewable primary resources used as material (NRPR _M)	MJ, LHV
Secondary materials (SM)	MJ, LHV
Renewable secondary fuels (RSF)	MJ, LHV
Non-renewable secondary fuels (NRSF)	MJ, LHV
Recovered energy (RE)	MJ, LHV
Use of net freshwater resources (FW)	m ³
WASTES	
Non-hazardous waste disposed (NHWD)	kg
Hazardous waste disposed (NWD)	kg
High-level radioactive waste (HLRW)	kg
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste (ILLRW)	kg
Components for re-use (CRU)	kg
Materials for recycling (MR)	kg
Materials for energy recovery (MER)	kg
Recovered energy exported from the product system (EE)	MJ

Clarus | go! Mobile

Table 12. Resource use and waste flows for go!Mobile Steel Magnetic over a 10-year time horizon. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits. Neg. = Negligible

Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufacturing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
RESOURCES									
	MJ	410	2.84	1,050	5.71	0.155	74.7	6.86x10 ⁻²	0.178
RPRE	%	27%	0%	68%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	0.00	0.00	622	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RPRIVI	%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	MJ	2,930	224	932	449	9.32	214	5.39	17.8
NKPKE	%	61%	5%	19%	9%	0%	4%	0%	0%
	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0
INKPKIVI	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CM.	kg	10.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SIM	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
NRSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
EW/	m3	1.48	2.81x10 ⁻²	0.608	5.66x10 ⁻²	4.64x10 ⁻³	0.541	6.80x10 ⁻⁴	8.95x10 ⁻³
FVV	%	54%	1%	22%	2%	0%	20%	0%	0%
RE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
WASTES									
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HWD	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	2.33	0.00	9.40	0.00	24.8	0.00	0.00	54.6
NHWD	%	3%	0%	10%	0%	27%	0%	0%	60%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HLKW	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLRVV	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CDU	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRU	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MD	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.07	0.00	0.00	7.20
IVIR	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	56%	0%	0%	44%
MER	kg	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
EE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.

Table 13. Resource use and waste flows for go!Mobile Steel Non-Magnetic over a 10-year time horizon. Results reported in MJ are calculatedusing lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits.

Neg.	=	Negi	ligibi	le
------	---	------	--------	----

lmpact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufacturing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
RESOURCES									
DDDE	MJ	391	2.83	1,040	5.47	0.155	74.7	6.41x10 ⁻²	0.168
RPRE	%	26%	0%	69%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	0.00	0.00	622	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RPRIVI	%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDDDE	MJ	2,730	222	883	430	9.32	214	5.04	16.4
INRPRE	%	61%	5%	20%	10%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0
INRPRIVI	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CM	kg	10.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ואוכ	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
NRSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
	m ³	1.46	2.80x10 ⁻²	0.577	5.42x10 ⁻²	4.64x10 ⁻³	0.541	6.35x10 ⁻⁴	8.67x10 ⁻³
ΓVV	%	55%	1%	22%	2%	0%	20%	0%	0%
RE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
WASTES									
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	1.99	0.00	8.78	0.00	24.8	0.00	0.00	51.7
	%	2%	0%	10%	0%	28%	0%	0%	59%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HLRW	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLNV	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CINO	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MD	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.07	0.00	0.00	6.06
IVIIX	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	40%
MER	kg	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
EE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.

Clarus | go! Mobile

Table 14. Resource use and waste flows for go!Mobile Wood Magnetic over a 10-year time horizon. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits.

 Neg. = Negligible

Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufacturing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
RESOURCES									
	MJ	469	3.10	1,040	5.66	0.155	74.7	6.77x10 ⁻²	0.183
RPRE	%	29%	0%	65%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
00014	MJ	67.2	0.00	622	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RPRM	%	10%	0%	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
NDDDE	MJ	2,550	244	922	445	9.32	214	5.32	17.1
NKPKE	%	58%	6%	21%	10%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0
INKPKIVI	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CM	kg	10.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SIVI	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
NRSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
	m3	1.26	3.08x10 ⁻²	0.602	5.61x10 ⁻²	4.64x10 ⁻³	0.541	6.71x10 ⁻⁴	9.26x10 ⁻³
FVV	%	50%	1%	24%	2%	0%	22%	0%	0%
RE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
WASTES									
HWD	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIME	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
ИНШО	kg	4.60	0.00	9.27	0.00	24.8	0.00	0.00	55.0
	%	5%	0%	10%	0%	26%	0%	0%	59%
HI RW	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIEIWV	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
II I RW	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CRU	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cho	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MR	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.07	0.00	0.00	5.93
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	0%	40%
MER	kg	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
EE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.

 Table 15. Resource use and waste flows for go!Mobile Wood Non-Magnetic over a 10-year time horizon. Results reported in MJ are calculated using lower heating values. All values are rounded to three significant digits.

 Neg. = Negligible

lmpact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufacturing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
RESOURCES									
DDDE	MJ	450	3.04	1,040	5.42	0.155	74.7	6.32x10 ⁻²	0.172
	%	29%	0%	66%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	67.2	0.00	622	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	%	10%	0%	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	MJ	2,350	239	873	426	9.32	214	4.96	15.7
INKPKE	%	57%	6%	21%	10%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	0
INKEKIVI	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CM	kg	9.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SIVI	%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
RSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
NRSF	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
	m ³	1.24	3.01x10 ⁻²	0.571	5.37x10 ⁻²	4.64x10 ⁻³	0.541	6.26x10 ⁻⁴	8.97x10 ⁻³
μΛΛ	%	51%	1%	23%	2%	0%	22%	0%	0%
RE	MJ	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
WASTES									
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ΠΨΨ	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	4.26	0.00	8.65	0.00	24.8	0.00	0.00	52.0
	%	5%	0%	10%	0%	28%	0%	0%	58%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HLKW	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLKVV	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
CDU	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRU	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MD	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.07	0.00	0.00	4.79
MR	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	65%	0%	0%	35%
MER	kg	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
EE	MI	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.

Clarus | go! Mobile

Table 16. Key Life Cycle Impact Assessment results by life cycle phase for go!Mobile Steel Magnetic. Results are shown for one glassboard maintained for 10 years.

Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufac- turing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
TRACI									
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	235	15.6	64.7	31.3	2.77	13.7	0.376	0.979
potential	%	64%	4%	18%	9%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Acidification	kg SO2 eq	1.32	6.50x10 ⁻²	0.174	0.123	3.42x10 ⁻³	7.20x10 ⁻²	1.48x10 ⁻³	7.18x10 ⁻³
potential	%	75%	4%	10%	7%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Eutrophication	kg PO4 eq	0.749	1.48x10 ⁻²	0.394	2.96x10 ⁻²	0.389	6.94x10 ⁻²	3.56x10 ⁻⁴	1.42x10 ⁻³
potential	%	45%	1%	24%	2%	24%	4%	0%	0%
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	8.23x10 ⁻⁶	2.68x10 ⁻⁷	5.00x10 ⁻⁷	5.38x10 ⁻⁷	1.17x10 ⁻⁸	1.13x10 ⁻⁶	6.46x10 ⁻⁹	2.22x10 ⁻⁸
potential	%	77%	3%	5%	5%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Photochemical	kg O₃ eq	20.0	1.73	2.74	3.33	9.95x10 ⁻²	0.862	4.00x10 ⁻²	0.219
potential (POCP)	%	69%	6%	9%	11%	0%	3%	0%	1%
CML-IA									
Abiotic depletion	MJ	2,660	221	785	443	9.13	196	5.32	17.6
fuels)	%	61%	5%	18%	10%	0%	5%	0%	0%

Table	e 17.	Key Life	e Cycle In	npact As	ssessment	results i	by life	cycle	ohase fo	or go	!Mobile	Steel	Non-N	Aagnetic	. Results	are s	shown j	for one
glassl	board	l maint	ained for	⁻ 10 yea	rs.													

0									
Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufac- turing (A3)	Downstream Transport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
TRACI									
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	217	15.5	61.3	30.0	2.77	13.7	0.351	0.889
potential	%	64%	5%	18%	9%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Acidification	kg SO ₂ eq	1.26	6.18x10 ⁻²	0.168	0.118	3.42x10 ⁻³	7.20x10 ⁻²	6.45x10 ⁻³	7.18x10 ⁻³
potential	%	74%	4%	10%	7%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Eutrophication	kg PO4 eq	0.675	1.47x10 ⁻²	0.370	2.84x10 ⁻²	0.389	6.94x10 ⁻²	3.33x10 ⁻⁴	1.29x10 ⁻³
potential	%	44%	1%	24%	2%	25%	4%	0%	0%
Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	7.86x10 ⁻⁶	2.67x10 ⁻⁷	4.82x10 ⁻⁷	5.15x10 ⁻⁷	1.17x10 ⁻⁸	1.13x10 ⁻⁶	6.04x10 ⁻⁹	2.04x10 ⁻⁸
potential	%	76%	3%	5%	5%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Photochemical	kg O₃ eq	19.0	1.67	2.66	3.19	9.95x10 ⁻²	0.862	3.74x10 ⁻²	0.196
potential (POCP)	%	69%	6%	10%	11%	0%	3%	0%	1%
CML-IA									
Abiotic depletion potential (fossil fuels)	MJ	2,480	219	745	424	9.13	196	4.97	16.2
	%	61%	5%	18%	10%	0%	5%	0%	0%

Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufac- turing (A3)	Downstream 'ransport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
TRACI									
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	202	17.0	64.0	31.0	2.77	13.7	0.371	1.09
potential	%	61%	5%	19%	9%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Acidification	kg SO2 eq	1.15	6.68x10 ⁻²	0.173	0.122	3.42x10 ⁻³	7.20x10 ⁻²	1.46x10 ⁻³	6.69x10 ⁻³
potential	%	72%	4%	11%	8%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Eutrophication	kg PO4 eq	0.642	1.61x10 ⁻²	0.389	2.94x10 ⁻²	0.389	6.94x10 ⁻²	3.51x10 ⁻⁴	2.28x10 ⁻²
potential	%	41%	1%	25%	2%	25%	4%	0%	1%
Ozone	kg CFC-11 eq	7.68x10 ⁻⁶	2.92x10 ⁻⁷	4.96x10 ⁻⁷	5.33x10 ⁻⁷	1.17x10 ⁻⁸	1.13x10 ⁻⁶	6.38x10 ⁻⁹	2.13x10 ⁻⁸
potential	%	75%	3%	5%	5%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Photochemical	kg O₃ eq	18.2	1.81	2.72	3.30	9.95x10 ⁻²	0.862	3.95x10 ⁻²	0.203
potential (POCP)	%	67%	7%	10%	12%	0%	3%	0%	1%
CML-IA									
Abiotic	MJ	2,320	241	776	439	9.13	196	5.25	16.9
depletion potential (fossil fuels)	%	58%	6%	19%	11%	0%	5%	0%	0%

Table 18. Key Life Cycle Impact Assessment results by life cycle phase for go!Mobile Wood Magnetic. Results are shown for one glassboard maintained for 10 years.

Table 19. Key Life Cycle Impact Assessment results by life cycle phase for go!Mobile Wood Non-Magnetic. Results are shown for one glassboard maintained for 10 years.

Impact Category	Unit	Raw Materials (A1)	Upstream Transport (A2)	Manufac- turing (A3)	Downstream 'ransport (A4)	Installation (A5)	Maintenance (B2)	Transport to Disposal (C2)	Disposal (C4)
TRACI									
Climate change	kg CO2 eq	185	16.7	60.6	29.7	2.77	13.7	0.346	1.00
potential	%	60%	5%	20%	10%	1%	4%	0%	0%
Acidification	kg SO₂eq	1.09	6.54x10 ⁻²	0.166	0.117	3.42x10 ⁻³	7.20x10 ⁻²	1.36x10 ⁻³	5.97x10 ⁻³
potential	%	72%	4%	11%	8%	0%	5%	0%	0%
Eutrophication	kg PO4 eq	0.568	1.58x10 ⁻²	0.366	2.81x10 ⁻²	0.389	6.94x10 ⁻²	3.28x10 ⁻⁴	2.27x10 ⁻²
potential	%	39%	1%	25%	2%	27%	5%	0%	2%
Ozone	kg CFC-11 eq	7.31x10 ⁻⁶	2.86x10 ⁻⁷	4.78x10 ⁻⁷	5.10x10 ⁻⁷	1.17x10 ⁻⁸	1.13x10 ⁻⁶	5.95x10 ⁻⁹	1.95x10 ⁻⁸
potential	%	75%	3%	5%	5%	0%	12%	0%	0%
Photochemical	kg O₃ eq	17.3	1.77	2.65	3.16	9.95x10 ⁻²	0.862	3.68x10 ⁻²	0.180
potential (POCP)	%	66%	7%	10%	12%	0%	3%	0%	1%
CML-IA									
Abiotic depletion potential (fossil fuels)	MJ	2,130	236	737	420	9.13	196	4.90	15.5
	%	57%	6%	20%	11%	0%	5%	0%	0%

6. LCA: Interpretation

The Upstream Raw Material Extraction and Processing life cycle phase (A1) is the largest contributor to the impact indicators evaluated. Downstream impacts, dominated by product distribution and product maintenance, are generally less than ~10-15% of the overall life cycle of the products while the product manufacturing stage (A3) impacts are minimal.



7. References

- Ecoinvent Centre (2022) ecoinvent data from v3.9.1 Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories, Dübendorf, 2022, http://www.ecoinvent.org
- ISO 14025: 2006 Environmental labels and declarations Type III environmental declarations Principles and Procedures
- ISO 14040: 2006 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Principles and framework
- ISO 14044: 2006/AMD 1:2017/ AMD 2:2020 Environmental Management Life cycle assessment Requirements and Guidelines.
- ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services
- SCS Global Services. Life Cycle Assessment of Clarus Glassboard Products. October 2023. Final Report.
 Prepared for Clarus
- SCS Type III Environmental Declaration Program: Program Operator Manual v12.0. December 2023. SCS Global Services
- "WARM Model Transportation Research Draft." Memorandum from ICF Consulting to United States Environmental Protection Agency. September 7, 2004. http://epa.gov/epawaste/conserve/tools/warm/SWMGHGreport.html#background.
- US EPA. Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: 2018 Fact Sheet. Assessing Trends in Material Generation, Recycling and Disposal in the United States. December 2020. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-01/documents/2018_ff_fact_sheet_dec_2020_fnl_508.pdf

For more information, contact:

clarus

Clarus

7537 Jack Newell Blvd N Fort Worth, TX 76118 469.400.7472 | www.clarus.com



SCS Global Services

2000 Powell Street, Ste. 600, Emeryville, CA 94608 USA Main +1.510.452.8000 | fax +1.510.452.8001